



Australian Uranium Conference Fremantle, Western Australia 21-22 July 2010

Patrick Mutz - Managing Director ASX Code: DYL www.deepyellow.com.au





#### Disclaimer



#### **Forward Looking Statements**

This presentation has been prepared by Deep Yellow Limited ("Deep Yellow"). The information contained in this presentation is of a general nature only and does not constitute and offer to issue, or to arrange an issue, of securities or financial products. The information contained in this presentation is not investment or financial product advice and is not intended to be used as the basis for making an investment decision. This presentation has been prepared without taking into account the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular person.

Before making an investment decision on the basis of this presentation, the investor needs to consider, with or without the assistance of a financial advisor, whether the investment is appropriate with due regard for their particular investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances.

This presentation is based on internal company stock exchange announcements, stockbroker research and technical information believed to be reliable. To the maximum extent permitted by law, none of Deep Yellow's Directors, employees or agents, nor any other person accepts any liability, including, without limitation, any liability arising out of fault of negligence, for any loss arising from the use of the information contained in this presentation nor is any obligation assumed to update such information. In particular, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is provided as to its accuracy, completeness or currency of the information contained in this presentation. Deep Yellow accepts no obligation to correct or update the information or opinions expressed in it. Opinions expressed are subject to change without notice and reflect the views of Deep Yellow at the time of presenting.

#### Overview



- Company Focus and Vision
- Corporate Profile
  - Share and Market Cap, Top 10, Cash, B&M
- Project Locations & Portfolio Summary
- Project Summary
  - Project Pyramid
- **West** Uranium Resources Summary
- Omahola Project PFS
- Emerging New Projects
- The Next 12 Months

### Company Focus and Vision



**Deep Yellow Limited (DYL)** is an Australianbased uranium focused company with extensive operations in the southern African nation of **Namibia** and **Australia**.

DYL is targeting becoming a uranium producer in Namibia in 2013-14 as it strives to continue to successfully grow its uranium resource base through delineation of previously identified mineralisation, discovery and/or M&A opportunities.

### Corporate Profile



Shares on Issue: 1,125.8M

Unlisted Options: 41.0M

Market Capitalisation: ~A\$202M

(at 18.0 cents – 16 July 2010)

Net Cash: A\$29.5M


(Statistics as at 30 June 2010 or as shown)

Unlisted Options	<b>Exercise Price</b>	Expiry Date
12,500,000	59.5 cents	30/11/2010
2,437,500	59.6 cents	31/12/2010
612,500	74.6 cents	30/06/2011
8,462,500	27.5 cents	30/06/2011
3,405,000	40.0 cents	30/06/2011
2,145,000	45.0 cents	30/06/2011
1,945,000	60.0 cents	30/06/2011
1,650,000	27.5 cents	31/12/2011
865,000	27.5 cents	30/06/2012
2,625,000	35.0 cents	30/06/2012
3,775,000	45.0 cents	30/06/2012
625,000	60.0 cents	30/06/2012

... No debt and strong shareholder support

### **Market Capitalisation**





## Top Ten Shareholders



Shareholder Name	Ordinary Shares	Percent
Paladin Energy Ltd	220,258,461	19.56
HSBC Custody Nominees (Aus) Ltd	139,863,572	12.42
Robert Anthony Healy	74,260,312	6.60
Dr Leon Eugene Pretorius	66,365,000	5.89
Gillian Swaby	40,673,333	3.61
Mr Zac Rossi + Mrs Thelma Rossi	35,800,000	3.18
Robert Anthony + Helen Marie Healy	25,437,500	2.26
Mervyn Patrick Greene	22,700,500	2.02
ANZ Nominees Limited <cash a="" c="" income=""></cash>	19,258,889	1.71
IJG Securities Pty Ltd	17,437,156	1.55
Totals	662,054,723	58.80

(As at 7 July 2010)

#### **Board and Management**



#### **Board of Directors**


Mr Mervyn Greene – Chairman Investment Banking
Mr Patrick Mutz – Managing Director Uranium Development/Production
Mr Martin Kavanagh – Executive Director Geology
Ms Gillian Swaby – Non-Executive Director Secretarial/Finance/Accounting
Mr Tony McDonald – Non-Executive Director (independent)
Mr Rudolf Brunovs – Non-Executive Director (independent)
Mr Mark Pitts – Company Secretary
Secretarial/Finance/Accounting

# Executive Management Combined 75 years uranium experience Over 100 years exploration and mining related experience

Mr Patrick Mutz – Chief Executive Officer, Deep Yellow Limited Dr Leon Pretorius – Managing Director, Reptile Uranium Namibia Mr Martin Kavanagh – Exploration Director, Deep Yellow Limited

### Project Locations - Africa





AFRICA (Political Map) Rabat MOROCCO NORTH ATLANTIC OCEAN .. . Cairo 🖲 ALGERIA LIBYA EGYPT MALI Nouakchott CAPE VERDE NIGER ERITREA YEMEN Dakar 📵 DJIBOUTL BURKINA THE GAMBIA GUINEA-BISSAU GUINEA N'Diamena Conakry SIERRA LEONE AFRICAN REPUBLIC CABINDA DEM. REP. DE - Dar es TANZANIA MALAWI ANGOLA SOUTH ATLANTIC OCEAN Antananarivo MOZAMBIQUE ZIMBABWE NAMIBIA MADAGASCAR Windhoek Mbabane Maputo SWAZILAND LEGEND INDIAN 1000 Km OCEAN Country Boundary 500 Miles Country Capital Copyright @ 2010 www.mapsofworld.com


Exploration operations conducted by Deep Yellow's wholly-owned subsidiary Reptile Uranium Namibia (RUN)

### Project Locations - Namibia






4,195 km<sup>2</sup>
Exploration area with substantial uranium resources



#### Project Locations – Australia - QLD






1,688 km<sup>2</sup> Exploration area with some uranium resources


### Project Locations – Australia - NT






## Project Pyramid





#### Project Pyramid







Donasit	Catagoni	NA Tayon	U308	U3O8	U308	U308	
Deposit	Category	M Tonne	(ppm)	(%)	(t)	(Mlb)	
REPTILE URANIU / NA	AMIBIA (PUN)						
Omahola Project							
INCA * ♦	Inferred	10.0	402	0.040	4,066	9.0	
NCA * ♦	Indicated	6.0	392	0.039	2,300	5.0	-
Tubas Red Sand #♦	Inferred	10.7	158	0.016	1,685	3.7	1 / 1
Tubas Red Sand #♦	Measured/ Indicated	3.2	168	0.017	532	1.2	
Other RUN Projects							1/
Tumas *	Inferred	1.0	360	0.036	360	0.8	
Tumas *	Indicated	9.0	343	0.034	3,087	6.8	
Tubas #	Inferred	77.3	228	0.023	17,620	38.8	1
Aussinanis × ♦	Inferred	29.0	240	0.024	6,960	15.3	
Aussinanis × ♦	Indicated	5.6	222	0.022	1,243	27	
<b>RUN PROJECT TOT</b>	AL	151.7	250	0.025	37,851	83.4	
NAPPERBY URANIUM	PROJECT						
Napperby *	Inferred	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	7.4	
NAPPERBY PROJECT T	OTAL	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	7.4	
		-///					
MOUNT ISA URANIUN							
Mount Isa �	Inferred	2.0	440	0.044	890	2.0	
Mount Isa �	Indicated	1.6	400	0.040	650	1.4	
MOUNT ISA PROJECT	TOTAL	3.6	420	0.042	1,540	3.4	7
TOTAL INFERRED		139.3	251	0.025	34,932	77.1	-
TOTAL INDICATED		25.4	308	0.023	7,812	17.1	
TOTAL RESOURCES	5	164.7	260	0.026	42,74	94.2	

Figures have been rounded to reflect the accuracy of estimates and include rounding errors. Conversion 1 kg = 2.205 lb.



Deposit         Category         M Tonne         U308 (ppm)         U308 (%)         U308 (t)         U308 (MIb)           REPTILE LIPANIUM NAMIBIA (RUN)           Omahola Project           INCA * ◆         Inferred         10.0         402         0.040         4,066         9.0           INCA * ◆         Indicated         6.0         392         0.039         2,300         5.0           Tubas Red Sand # ◆         Inferred         10.7         158         0.016         1,685         3.7           Tubas Red Sand # ◆         Inferred         3.2         168         0.017         532         1.2           Other RUN Projects           Tumas *         Inferred         1.0         360         0.036         360         0.8           Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ◆         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250	JORC Mi	neral Resou	rce Estimat	es Sum	mary – I	<b>MAY 20</b> :	10
Common	Donosit	Catagory	M Toppo	U308	U308	U308	U308
Omahola Project         Inferred         10.0         402         0.040         4,066         9.0           INCA * ◆         Indicated         6.0         392         0.039         2,300         5.0           Tubas Red Sand # ◆         Inferred         10.7         158         0.016         1,685         3.7           Tubas Red Sand # ◆         Measured/Indicated         3.2         168         0.017         532         1.2           Other RUN Projects           Tumas *         Inferred         1.0         360         0.036         360         0.8           Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ◆         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ◆         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT           Napperby *         Inferred			W Tollife	(ppm)	(%)	(t)	(Mlb)
INCA * ♦   Inferred   10.0   402   0.040   4,066   9.0     INCA * ♦   Indicated   6.0   392   0.039   2,300   5.0     Tubas Red Sand # ♦   Inferred   10.7   158   0.016   1,685   3.7     Tubas Red Sand # ♦   Measured / Indicated   3.2   168   0.017   532   1.2     Other RUN Projects	REPTILE LIPANIUM N	IAMIBIA (RUN)					
INCA * ♦         Indicated         6.0         392         0.039         2,300         5.0           Tubas Red Sand # ♦         Inferred         10.7         158         0.016         1,685         3.7           Tubas Red Sand # ♦         Measured/Indicated         3.2         168         0.017         532         1.2           Other RUN Projects         Tumas *         Inferred         1.0         360         0.036         360         0.8           Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ♦         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ♦         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Omahola Project	<u> </u>		_			
Tubas Red Sand #♦         Inferred         10.7         158         0.016         1,685         3.7           Tubas Red Sand #♦         Measured/Indicated         3.2         168         0.017         532         1.2           Other RUN Projects           Tumas *         Inferred         1.0         360         0.036         360         0.8           Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ♦         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ♦         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT         Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	INCA * ♦	Inferred	10.0	402	0.040	4,066	9.0
Tubas Red Sand #♦         Measured/Indicated         3.2         168         0.017         532         1.2           Other RUN Projects           Tumas *         Inferred         1.0         360         0.036         360         0.8           Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ♦         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ♦         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT           Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	INCA * ♦	Indicated	6.0	392	0.039	2,300	5.0
Tubas Red Sand #♦         Indicated         3.2         168         0.017         532         1.2           Other RUN Projects           Tumas *         Inferred         1.0         360         0.08           Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ♦         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT           Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Tubas Red Sand #◆	Inferred	10.7	158	0.016	1,685	3.7
Tumas *         Inferred         1.0         360         0.036         360         0.8           Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ◆         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ◆         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT         Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Tubas Red Sand #◆		3.2	168	0.017	532	1.2
Tumas *         Indicated         9.0         343         0.034         3,087         6.8           Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ◆         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ◆         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	<b>Other RUN Projects</b>						
Tubas #         Inferred         77.3         228         0.023         17,620         38.8           Aussinanis × ◆         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ◆         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT         Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Tumas *	Inferred	1.0	360	0.036	360	0.8
Aussinanis × ♦         Inferred         29.0         240         0.024         6,960         15.3           Aussinanis × ♦         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT         Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Tumas *	Indicated	9.0	343	0.034	3,087	6.8
Aussinanis × ◆         Indicated         5.6         222         0.022         1,243         2.7           RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT           Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Tubas #	Inferred	77.3	228	0.023	17,620	38.8
RUN PROJECT TOTAL         151.7         250         0.025         37,853         83.4           NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT           Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Aussinanis ×♦	Inferred	29.0	240	0.024	6,960	15.3
NAPPERBY URANIUM PROJECT           Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	Aussinanis ×♦	Indicated	5.6	222	0.022	1,243	2.7
Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4	<b>RUN PROJECT TO</b>	TAL	151.7	250	0.025	37,853	83.4
Napperby *         Inferred         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4           NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL         9.3         359         0.036         3,351         7.4					4		
NAPPERBY PROJECT TOTAL 9.3 359 0.036 3,351 7.4	NAPPERBY URANIUM	M PROJECT					
	Napperby *	Inferred	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	7.4
MOUNT IS A LIBANIUM PROJECT	NAPPERBY PROJECT	TOTAL	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	7.4
MOUNT ISA LIRANIUM PROJECT							
		IM PROJECT					
Mount Isa ❖ Inferred 2.0 440 0.044 890 2.0	Mount Isa �	Inferred	2.0	440	0.044	890	2.0
Mount Isa ❖ Indicated 1.6 400 0.040 650 1.4	Mount Isa �	Indicated	1.6	400	0.040	650	1.4
MOUNT ISA PROJECT TOTAL 3.6 420 0.042 1,540 3.4	MOUNT ISA PROJECT	T TOTAL	3.6	420	0.042	1,540	3.4
TOTAL INFERRED 139.3 251 0.025 34,932 77.1	TOTAL INFERRED		139.3	251	0.025	34,932	77.1
TOTAL INDICATED 25.4 308 0.031 7,812 17.1	TOTAL INDICATED		25.4	308	0.031	7,812	17.1
TOTAL RESOURCES 164.7 260 0.026 42,744 94.2	TOTAL RESOURCE	S	164.7	260	0.026	42,744	94.2

Expanded resource estimate expected in September Qtr



Figures have been rounded to reflect the accuracy of estimates and include rounding errors. Conversion 1 kg = 2.205 lb.



JORC Min	eral Resou	rce Estimate	es Sum	mary – I	MAY 20:	10
Deposit	Category	M Tonne	U3O8 (ppm)	U3O8 (%)	U3O8 (t)	U3O8 (Mlb)
REPTILE URANIUM NA	MIBIA (RUN)					
Omahola Project						
INCA * ♦	Inferred	10.0	402	0.040	4,066	9.0
INCA * ♦	Indicated	6.0	392	0.039	2,300	5.0
Tubas Red Sand #◆	Inferred	10.7	158	0.016	1,685	3.7
Tubas Red Sand #♦	Measured/ Indicated	3.2	168	0.017	532	1.2
Other RUN Projects						
Tumas *	Inferred	1.0	360	0.036	360	0.8
Tumas *	Indicated	9.0	343	0.034	3,087	6.8
Tubas #	Inferred	77.3	228	0.023	17,620	38.8
<b>Aussinanis</b> ×♦	Inferrea	29.0	240	0.024	6,960	15.3
<b>Aussinanis</b> ×♦	Indicated	5.6	222	0.022	1,243	2.7
RUN PROJECT TOT	AL	151.7	250	0.025	37,853	83.4
NAPPERBY URANIUM	PROJECT					
Napperby *	Inferred	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	7.4
NAPPERBY PROJECT T	OTAL	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	7.4
MOUNT ISA URANIUN	/I PROJECT					
Mount Isa ❖	Inferred	2.0	440	0.044	890	2.0
Mount Isa �	Indicated	1.6	400	0.040	650	1.4
MOUNT ISA PROJECT	TOTAL	3.6	420	0.042	1,540	3.4
					<sup> </sup>	
TOTAL INFERRED		139.3	251	0.025	34,932	77.1
TOTAL INDICATED		25.4	308	0.031	7,812	17.1
TOTAL RESOURCES		164.7	260	0.026	42,744	94.2

resource component.
Evaluation underway to delineate highgrade subset


Figures have been rounded to reflect the accuracy of estimates and include rounding errors. Conversion 1 kg = 2.205 lb.



Deposit	Category	M Tonne	U3O8 (ppm)	U3O8 (%)	U3O8 (t)	U3O8 (Mlb)
REPTILE URANIUM NA	AMIBIA (RUN)					
Omahola Project						
INCA * ♦	Inferred	10.0	402	0.040	4,066	9.0
INCA * ♦	Indicated	6.0	392	0.039	2,300	5.0
Tubas Red Sand #♦	Inferred	10.7	158	0.016	1,685	3.7
Tubas Red Sand #♦	Measured/ Indicated	3.2	168	0.017	532	1.2
Other RUN Projects						
Tumas *	Inferred	1.0	360	0.036	360	0.8
Tumas *	Indicated	9.0	343	0.034	3,087	6.8
Tubas #	Inferred	77.3	228	0.023	17,620	38.8
Aussinanis ×♦	Inferred	29.0	240	0.024	6,960	15.3
Aussinanis ×♦	Indicated	5.6	222	0.022	1,243	2.7
<b>RUN PROJECT TOT</b>	AL	151.7	250	0.025	37,853	83.4
ALA DDEDDY LID A NILLIA	PROJECT					
NAPPERBY URANIUM		0.2	250	0.026	2.254	7.4
Napperby *	Inferred	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	
NAPPERBY PROJECT T	OTAL	9.3	359	0.036	3,351	7.4
MOUNT ISA URANIUN	/I PROJECT					
Mount Isa �	Inferred	2.0	440	0.044	890	2.0
Mount Isa ❖	Indicated	1.6	400	0.040	650	1.4
MOUNT ISA PROJECT	TOTAL	3.6	420	0.042	1,540	3.4
TOTAL INFERRED	I	139.3	251	0.025	34,932	77.1
TOTAL INDICATED		25.4	308	0.031	7,812	17.1
TOTAL RESOURCES	5	164.7	260	0.026	42,74	94.2

#### Resource Areas Drillholes - Namibia






### Deep Yellow Uranium Resources



#### **Uranium Resources in accordance w/JORC Code**



### Market Cap and Uranium Resources



#### **DYL Market Cap and Uranium Resources**



### Market Cap per Resource Lb U3O8



#### **DYL Market Cap per Resource Lb U308**



### Omahola Project



The **Omahola Project** is the subject of a **Pre-Feasibility Study (PFS)** being conducted by **SNC Lavalin** – Johannesburg

Project uranium resources consist of two deposits:

- **INCA** deposit unique uranium and magnetite mineralisation
- Tubas Red Sand (TRS) deposit wind-blown red sands with uranium mineralisation
- Total initial uranium resources in accordance with JORC Code
  - $\triangleright$  29.8 M tonnes at 287 ppm eU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> for 8,583 tonnes (**18.9 Mlbs**) eU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>
  - Expanded resource estimate anticipated in September Quarter

### Omahola Project – INCA Deposit



#### **INCA** deposit

- Unique uranium and magnetite mineralisation
- Initial JORC Resource estimate 16.0 M tonnes at 400 ppm eU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> containing 14.0 M lbs eU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> at 200 ppm U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> cut-off grade
- Shallow mineralisation from ~20 metres depth
- Magnetite may potentially be separated during processing and sold as by-product to other uranium producers with acid leach circuits

### Omahola Project – TRS Deposit



#### **Tubas Red Sand (TRS) deposit**

- Wind-blown red sands with uranium mineralisation
- Initial JORC Resource 13.8 M tonnes at 160 ppm eU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> containing **4.9 M lbs eU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>** at 100 ppm U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> cut-off grade
- From surface to ~13 metres depth
  - Available as **free-digging sand** amenable to low cost mining techniques
- **Amenable to beneficiation** 
  - Preliminary tests indicate 90% of uranium can be captured in 22% of mass, increasing grade to over 500 ppm U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>
- Drilling suggests mineralised red sands occur adjacent to and may potentially flank the mineralised Tubas-Oryx palaeochannel system which stretches some 30 kilometres across RUN's EPL3496

### Omahola Project - PFS



#### **Pre-Feasibility Study (PFS)**

- Study launched in March 2010
- SNC Lavalin lead engineering consultant and Study Manager
- Metallurgical testwork by Mintek Johannesburg
- Draft PFS anticipated in December Quarter 2010

### Omahola Project – Development



#### **Forward Looking Targets for Project Development**

- PFS March-December 2010
- Definitive Feasibility Study (DFS); targeting 2011\*
- Environmental approvals and licensing; targeting 2011-2012\*
- Project development and construction; targeting 2012-2013\*
- Start of mining and ore processing; targeting 2013-2014\*

<sup>\* -</sup>Contingent on successful completion of prior steps

### **Emerging New Projects**



#### **Tubas Alaskite Prospect**

- Discovery of **high-grade** alaskite hosted uranium mineralisation announced 29 April 2010
- ALAR13 intersected 89 metres at 400 ppm cU<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>

#### Shiyela Magnetite Prospect


Results of evaluation of magnetite cores sample yields high-grade iron magnetite concentrate with low impurities

#### Nova JV Tenements

- > 1,323 km<sup>2</sup> additional prospective ground adjoining RUN's EPLs
- RUN earning 65% by spending A\$3.5 million across 3 years
- Contain same host rocks as Langer Heinrich, Rossing, Rossing
   South and Etango


## New Projects – Locations





## New Projects – Tubas Alaskite






Regional aeromagnetic image with Tubas Alaskite Prospect relative to known uranium mineralisation

## New Projects – Shiyela Magnetite






Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) Image from RUN aeromagnetic survey - showing regional extent of interpreted 'high magnetic terrain' (red) within EPL 3496

# New Projects – Shiyela






Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) Image over 1<sup>st</sup> Vertical Derivative aeromagnetic image with highest magnetic intensity in red

## New Projects – Nova EPL 3668





#### The Next 12 Months



- Continue to expand uranium resource base
- Complete PFS on Omahola; embark on DFS
- PFS or Scoping Study on Tubas high-grade resource subset
- Advance drilling on emerging new projects
  - Tubas Alaskite and Shiyela Magnetite
- Continue reconnaissance drilling on Nova EPLs and untested areas on RUN's EPLs
- Consideration of strategic asset sales to boost cash reserve
- Major focus on marketing and investor relations
- Byes wide open for M&A opportunities

#### **Contact Details**



#### **Patrick Mutz**

Managing Director

#### **Deep Yellow Limited**

Level 1, 329 Hay Street

Subiaco, Western Australia 6008

T+61 8 9286 6999

F+61 8 9286 6969

Email: info@deepyellow.com.au

Website: www.deepyellow.com.au



#### **INCA and Tubas Red Sand deposits**

The information in this report that relates to the Mineral Resource for the INCA and Tubas Red Sand deposits is based on information compiled by Mr Mike Hall, who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Hall is Consulting Geologist Resources with The MSA Group and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Reserves'. Mr Hall consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears. Information in this report has also been verified by Mr Mike Venter, who is a member of the South African Council for Natural and Scientific Professions (SACNASP), a "Recognised Overseas Professional Organization" ('ROPO'). Mr Venter is Regional Consulting Geologist, with The MSA Group and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Reserves'. Mr Venter has visited the project sites to review drilling, sampling and other aspects of the work relevant to this report and consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report relating to **Exploration Results for the INCA and Tubas Red Sand deposits** is based on information compiled by **Dr Leon Pretorius** who is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Dr Pretorius is a full-time employee of Deep Yellow Limited and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserve'. Dr Pretorius consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Where eU3O8 and/or cU3O8 is reported it relates to values attained from radiometrically logging boreholes with Auslog equipment using an A675 slimline gamma ray tool. All probes are calibrated either at the Pelindaba Calibration facility in South Africa or at the Adelaide Calibration facility in South Australia.



#### **Aussinanis and Tumas deposits**

The information in this report that relates **Mineral Resource** estimation for **Aussinanis and Tumas** is based on work completed by **Mr Jonathon Abbott** who is a full time employee of **Hellman and Schofield Pty Ltd** and a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Abbott has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' and as a Qualified Person as defined in the AIM Rules. Mr Abbott consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to **Gamma Logging Results and their conversion to Equivalent Uranium Grades** for **Tumas** is based on information compiled by **Dr Doug Barrett** a Consulting Geophysicist and Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Dr Barrett has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Barrett consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to data quality, including the accuracy and reliability of gamma logging results, bulk densities, cut off grades and comments on the resource estimates for Aussinanis is based on information compiled by Dr Leon Pretorius a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Dr Pretorius is a full-time employee of Deep Yellow Limited and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Pretorius consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.



#### Tubas deposit

The information in this report that relates Mineral Resource estimation for Tubas is based on work completed by Mr Willem H. Kotzé Pr. Sci. Nat MSAIMM. Mr Kotzé who is a full time employee of Hellman and Schofield Pty Ltd and a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Kotzé has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' and as a Qualified Person as defined in the AIM Rules. Mr Kotzé consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to **Exploration Results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves** for **Tubas** is based on information compiled by **Dr Leon Pretorius** a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Dr Pretorius is a full-time employee of Deep Yellow Limited and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Pretorius consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Where eU3O8 and/or cU3O8 is reported it relates to values attained from radiometrically logging boreholes with Auslog equipment using an A675 slimline gamma ray tool. All probes are calibrated either at the Pelindaba Calibration facility in South Africa or at the Adelaide Calibration facility in South Australia.



#### **Mount Isa Projects**

The information in this report that relates to **Mineral Resource** estimation for the **Mount Isa Projects** is based on work compiled by **Mr Neil Inwood**, a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Inwood is employed by Coffey Mining Pty Ltd and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Inwood consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to **Exploration Results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves** for the **Mount Isa Projects** is based on information compiled by **Dr Leon Pretorius** a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Dr Pretorius is a full-time employee of Deep Yellow Limited and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Pretorius consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Where eU3O8 and/or cU3O8 is reported it relates to values attained from radiometrically logging boreholes with Auslog equipment using an A675 slimline gamma ray tool. All probes are calibrated either at the Pelindaba Calibration facility in South Africa or at the Adelaide Calibration facility in South Australia.



#### Napperby Project

The information in this report that relates to **Mineral Resource** estimation for the **Napperby Project** is based on information compiled by **Mr Daniel Guibal** who is a Fellow (CP) of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Guibal is a full time employee of **SRK Consulting** and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Guibal consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to **Exploration Results** for the **Napperby Project** is based on information compiled by **Dr David Rawlings** who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Dr Rawlings is a full-time employee of **Toro Energy Limited** and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Rawlings consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to **Disequilibrium Results** for the **Napperby Project** is based on information compiled by **Mr David Wilson BSc MSc** who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Wilson is a full-time employee of **3D Exploration Limited**, a consultant to Toro and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Wilson consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.